UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,071	02/13/2002	David Bar-Or	4172-3-2	8825
22442 SHERIDAN R	7590 12/26/2007 OSS PC		EXAMINER	
1560 BROADWAY			DESAI, ANAND U	
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	. ,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/076,071	BAR-OR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anand U. Desai, Ph.D.	1656		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 531-542,547,548,550-552,555,558,56 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 531-542, 547, 548, 550-552, 555, 558 Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction on the original part of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemother Chemoth	vn from consideration. 8, 560, 569-576, and 581 is/are reserved. The election requirement. The election objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	Examiner. 2 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. & 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Amendment filed on October 3, 2007. Claims 544, 545, 546, 553, 554, 559, 561-568, and 577-580 have been cancelled. New claim 581 has been added. Claims 531-542, 547, 548, 550-552, 555, 558, 560, 569-576, and 581 are currently pending and are under examination.

Withdrawal of Rejections

2. The rejection of claims 531-539, 560, and 569-576 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harford and Sarkar (Acc. Chem. Res. 30: 123-130 (1997); previously cited) in view of Hu, G. (Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 69: 326-335 (1998)) is withdrawn based on the amendment to incorporate the limitation of claim 546 into claim 531.

Maintenance of Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Enablement

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 531-542, 547, 548, 550-552, 555, 558, 560, 569-576, and 581 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of treating an angiogenic disease or condition in an animal by inhibiting angiogenesis using metal-binding peptides as disclosed in the examples and the declaration filed April 20, 2006, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of treating an angiogenic disease or condition with

Application/Control Number:

10/076,071

Art Unit: 1656

a metal-binding peptide encompassed by the formula, P₁-P₂; P₁ is Xaa₁ Xaa₂ His or Xaa₁ Xaa₂ His Xaa₃, the P₁ portion of the peptide is linear, P₂ is any metal binding sequence that contains no more than 10 amino acid residues, and Xaa₁, Xaa₂, Xaa₃, and Xaa₄ are amino acids disclosed in claim 531. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The rejection was explained in the office action mailed September 30, 2005, and further discussed in the office action mailed July 25, 2006 and April 4, 2007.

Response to Remarks

5. The claims are drawn to a method of treating an angiogenic disease or condition in an animal comprising administering to the animal an amount of a metal-binding peptide which does not have a metal bound to it or a physiologically-acceptable salt of the peptide, the amount of the peptide or salt which is administered to the animal being effective to inhibit angiogenesis, the sequence of the peptide being P₁-P₂; P₁ is Xaa₁ Xaa₂ His or Xaa₁ Xaa₂ His Xaa₃, the P₁ portion of the peptide is linear, P₂ is any metal binding sequence that contains no more than 10 amino acid residues, and Xaa₁, Xaa₂, Xaa₃, and Xaa₄ are amino acids disclosed in the claims.

Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The issue in this application is the breadth of the claims in light of the predictability of the art as determined by the number of working examples, the skill level artisan and the guidance presented in the instant specification and the prior art of record. This make and test position is inconsistent with the decisions of *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24

10/076,071

Art Unit: 1656

(CCPA 1970) where it stated that "...scope of claims must bear a reasonable correlation to scope of enablement provided by the specification to persons of ordinary skill in the art...".

Applicants Remarks dated September 7, 2005 state there was not sufficient predictability in the art of inhibiting angiogenesis using metal chelating agents at the time of the filing of the application (see also scope of enablement, section 3 of Office action mailed September 30, 2005). In reference to the presented claims, particularly P2 in claims 531 and 547 encompass a glycine amino acid residue at position Xaa₂, the prior enablement rejection describes the unpredictable nature of copper binding peptides with a glycine at position 2. The Lane, T et al. reference describes a peptide sequence, L-Lys-L-Gly-L-His-L-Lys, which stimulates angiogenesis (see scope of enablement, section 3 of Office action mailed September 30, 2005). Accordingly, there is unpredictability for metal-binding peptides ability to inhibit angiogenesis.

The HUVEC proliferation assay describes peptides, Tyr-Lys-His, Ser-Ser-His, D-Phe-Gly-His, and Asp-Ala-His-Arg-Arg-Arg-Arg-Arg-Arg that do not inhibit proliferation of endothelial cells, and do not inhibit angiogenesis.

Therefore, there is unpredictability for metal-binding peptides ability to inhibit angiogenesis. There is no way to predict whether all of the metal-binding peptides encompassed by the formulas in the claims will treat an angiogenic disease or condition by inhibiting angiogenesis. There is insufficient guidance and a large quantity of experimentation to make and use the peptides of the invention within the full scope of the claims.

Without sufficient guidance, determination of having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable, and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily and improperly extensive and undue. See *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d at 737, 8

Application/Control Number:

10/076,071

Art Unit: 1656

USPQ2d at 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Therefore, absent direction/guidance regarding whether the peptide can tolerate the modifications contemplated one of skill in the art would not be able to practice the claimed invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

Conclusion

- 6. No claims are allowed.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U. Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (517) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/076,071

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 20, 2007

AD
/Anand Desai/
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1656

/Robert B. Mondesi/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652